

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

**DATE MAILED:** 

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. SHIMURA 09/068,253 06/09/98 Т 146.1286 **EXAMINER** 020311 HM12/0524 BIERMAN MUSERLIAN AND LUCAS MOEZIE, F ART UNIT 600 THIRD AVENUE PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK NY 10016 1653

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

05/24/01



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/068,253

Applicant(s)

SHIMURA et al

Examiner

F. MOEZIE

Art Unit **1653** 

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
A SH	for Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM
af - If the be	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days a considered timely.	s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
co - Failur - Any	ommunication. re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). It is mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/15/01	and 12/14/00 .
2a) 💢	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This act	tion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) 2-5, 8-11, and 13-15	is/are pending in the application.
4	1a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 2-5, 8-11, and 13-15	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims -	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ition Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) [	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received in Application No
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th</li> </ol>	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	·
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Attachm		40\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  19) Notice of Informal Petent Application (PTO-152)
_	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/068,253 Page 2

Art Unit: 1653

**DETAILED ACTION** 

**STATUS OF CLAIMS** 

Claims 2-5, 8-11 and 13-15 are pending prosecution in this Office action.

**HISTORY OF PROSECUTION** 

Claims 1-7 were originally filed, wherein claims 1-6 were drawn to a composition and

claim 7 was drawn to a method of use.

In the Preliminary Amendment dated 13 May 1998, paper no.5, claims 8-11 (dependent

from claim 7) were added.

In the first Office action on the merits mailed 3/2/99, paper no. 6, claims 1-11 were

rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph and 35 U.S.C. 103 (a)...

In response to the Office action, applicant filed an Amendment (received 28 May 1999,

paper no. 7), wherein, claims 1-5, 7 and 9 were amended and claim 6 was canceled.

In the second Office action on the merits of the claims mailed 8/18/99, paper no 8, claims

1-5 and 7-11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, Second paragraph, claim 1 was rejected under

35 U.S.C. 102 (b) and claims 2-5 and 7-11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a).

In response to the second Office action, applicant filed an Amendment (received 1/21/00,

paper no. 10), wherein claim 1 was canceled and a new composition claim, claim 12 was added.

Form PTO-1449 was also submitted.

In the third and Final Office action on the merits of the claims mailed 4/13/00, paper no.11, claims 2-5 and 7-12 were rejected on various grounds of 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs and 35 U.S.C. 102 (b)/103 (a). The earlier rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) was maintained.

Page 3

In a follow up interview with the Applicants' representative on 4/13/00, applicant was to review and correct the terminologies related to the polymers and distinguish the claims over the art, by adding collagen free, if applicable, to the claims

In response to the Final rejection, applicant filed an Amendment (31 July 2000, paper no. 14) wherein, claim 12 was amended to include a further limitation, i.e., "collagen free". Claim 7 was canceled and a new method claim (claim 13) was added to replace claim 7. This new claim did not include the limitations deemed necessary and included in the compositions claim(s).

The Finality of the Final rejection was withdrawn, 8/15/00, paper no. 15, and the amendment filed 7/31/00, paper no. 14, was entered.

In the Amendment filed 11 August 2000, paper no.16, claim 12 was canceled and a new composition claim, claim 14 was added. Claim 7 was canceled and a new method claim, claim 15, was added to replace claim 7. This new method claim did not include the limitations agreed upon.

In a follow up interview, 8/30/00, applicants' attention was called upon the SEQ ID NO:1 at page 18, line 17, and the need for compliance with the amino acid sequence listing requirements. In addition to correcting the specification regarding errors and/or inconsistencies.

Art Unit: 1653

In a series of miscellaneous actions which followed, applicant submitted Sequence ID Listing which was finally good technically and entered into the data base (5/10/01).

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

# COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS FOR PATENT APPLICATIONS CONTAINING AMINO ACID SEQUENCE DISCLOSURES

This application fails to fully comply with the requirements as set forth in the earlier Notice To Comply with the Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures because:

Note: Upon compliance with the requirements applicant must also amend the application to provide the SEQ ID NOS in THE SPECIFICATION (at least in the first occurrence, in ALL CLAIMS, EXAMPLES, TABLES and CLAIMS.

#### **Current Claims Status:**

Independent claim 14 (new, added 8/11/00), drawn to a composition, and claims 2-5 are dependent thereon.

Claim 13 (new, added to replace canceled claim 7), drawn to a method of use, are dependent from the canceled claim 12.

Application/Control Number: 09/068,253 Page 5

Art Unit: 1653

Claim 15 (new, added to replace canaled claim 7), drawn to a method of use and claims dependent thereon (claims 8-11).

#### **REJECTION - 35 U.S.C. 112, FIRST PARAGRAPH**

Claims 13 and the claims dependent thereon are rejected under 35 U.S.C., first paragraph, as containing New matter. The newly added term is "defect" at the end of the claim. Applicant should specifically point out the support for the amendments, see MPEP Sec. 714.02 and 2163.06.

#### **REJECTION - 35 U.S.C. 112, SECOND PARAGRAPH**

Claims 2-5 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, regarding the terminology employed to define the molecular weight of the polymers. See below for suggestions.

Claims 10 and 11 are duplicative of one another. Cancellation of one of the claims is suggested.

Claim 13 and the claims dependent thereon, claims 8-11 and 15 are indefinite and improper as they depend from claim 12, a canceled claim.

In claims 13, line 3 and claim 15, lines 2-3, "the" or "said" should be substituted for "a" in the second occurance of "a warm-blooded animal".

#### **REJECTION - 35 U.S.C. 103 (a)**

Claims 8-11, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over WO 94/1484 in view of JP 62-13546 or Ron et al for the reasons cited in the earlier Office action

Art Unit: 1653

mailed 8/18/99. Incorporation of all the limitations cited in the independent composition claim into the method claim(s) or drawing the method claims so that they would depend on the composition claims could overcome this ground of rejection.

SEQUENCE LISTING entered 5/10/01 is objected to as it introduces New Matter into the specification. Reference made to WO 95/04819 in the sequence Listing disclosures is not a properly incorporated reference into the specification. For proper Incorporation by Reference into the specification, see MPEP 608.01 (p).

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the response to this Office action.

\*\*\*

### RESPONSE TO COMMUNICATION received 2/15/0, paper no. 21.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces New matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added and/or deleted material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The changes made at page 14, [a) deletions and b) insertions], constitute New Matter for the following reasons: a) for the deletions, there is no convincing reason given as to why the original disclosure is found defective at this time and the indicated paragraphs has to be deleted and b) the paragraphs entered on page 14, lines 3-7 and 16-20 also constitute New matter. Furthermore, the paragraphs inserted are not in accordance with the proper Incorporation by Reference. See, MPEP 608.01 (p) for guidance.

The claims are rejected as being based on an application which is objected to.

Application/Control Number: 09/068,253 Page 7

Art Unit: 1653

RESPONSE TO REMARKS and the Supplemental Amendment filed 12/14/00. The amendment refers to a reference which is cited in the specification at page 14, lines 1 and 2. However, the later SEQ IDS (which have been entered in the data base) make reference to WO 95/04819. The cited WO 95/04819 reference belongs to a different entity. Applicant has to point out the support for the reference in the specification and properly incorporate the pertinent parts of the cited reference into the specification according to MPEP 608.01 (p). Currently, the entries to the specification and the entry of the sequences are considered New Matter.

The **New Matter** rejection of the claims as applied in the earlier final rejection (now finality withdrawn) regarding the introduction of the temperature limitations and "agent" is withdrawn in view of the applicants' amendment and remarks filed Jan. 21, 2000.

The rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, regarding the Molecular Weight of the polymers, is maintained because the terminology regarding the MW of the polymers is inconsistent and incorrect. Applicant is advised to employ the language of the specification throughout, wherein at page 6, lines 22-25 reference is made to the separate parts of the polymer as follows:

"the polyethylene glycol as a constituent of said polyoxyethylenepolyoxypropylene glycol has a molecular weight of about 1,500-4000 and an ethylene oxide content of about 40-80% per molecule". Application/Control Number: 09/068,253

Art Unit: 1653

The correct and consistent language should be maintained throughout the application

Page 8

(specification and the claims).

RESPONSE TO THE AMENDMENT AND REMARKS filed 8/11/00 under Rule

116. New claim 14 (not designated new) was added and claim 12 canceled. New claim 15 (not

designated new) have been added and claim 7 canceled. The merits of the new claims 14 and 15

have been addressed herein above.

**RESPONSE TO THE COMMUNICATION** filed 31 July 2000, paper no. 14.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces New matter

into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the

disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure

are as follows: The entries at pages 14 and 18 constitute New Matter for the following reasons:

The incorporation of the necessary parts of the reference must occur by the insertion of

its contents into the instant specification. This objection is currently moot due to the cancellation

of the New matter material.

Additionally, specification is objected to because the definition for the polymers is not

consistent throughout the specification. See above for explanation.

Claims are rejected as being based on a specification which has been found objectionable.

CONCLUSION

No claim is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/068,253

Art Unit: 1653

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office

Page 9

action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to F.T. Moezie at

telephone number (703) 305-4508 or Mr. LOW (SPE) at 308-2923.